REMARKS

The Notice of Drawing Inconsistency With Specification mailed January 5, 2007 indicated an inconsistency between the drawings and the Brief Description of the Drawings. Specifically, Figure 9 did not contain annotations (a), (b), (c) and (d), as enumerated in the specification.

By this response, formal drawings replacing Figs. 1-11 are submitted herewith. In these drawings, Figure 9 contains the annotations (a), (b), (c) and (d), and is believed consistent with the specification.

CONCLUSION

In view of the forgoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition for issuance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain at issue that the Examiner feels could best be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to contact the attorney below.

No fee is believed due with this Response, however, should a fee be required please charge Deposit Account 50-0510. Should any extensions of time be required, please consider this a petition thereof and charge Deposit Account 50-0510 the required fee.

Dated: February 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924

Law Office of Ido Tuchman

82-70 Beverly Road

Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Telephone (718) 544-1110

Facsimile (718) 544-8588